UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,110	09/14/2006	Xianhai Chen	014116-008710US	9383
	7590	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	CHU, CHRIS H		
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	5CO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			3,110	CHEN, XIANHAI				
			iner	Art Unit				
		CHRI	S H. CHU	2874				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In I munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) N e application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 00 March 20	വര					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 March 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>'</i> —		natters incosecution as to th	e merits is			
<u>ا</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) 6-17 is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 Septemb</u>		Ⅺ accepted or b	b)∏ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any obje			-				
		_			:FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/09</u> .		5) Notice 6) Other:					
pu	. ,		, —					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 9, 2009 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Informational Disclosure Statement filed on March 9, 2009 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,110

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hattori et al. (JP 8-194208) in view of Merck ("Polymer Dispersed Liquid Crystals" from the applicant's IDS).

Page 3

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Hattori et al. discloses a liquid crystal dispersed within a polymer matrix formed by the cross-linking of a polyacrylate resin and a polyisocynanate resin in the Constitution section and paragraph 0008. Hattori et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the specific properties of the liquid crystals. Merck teaches a TL series of liquid crystals which exhibit a minimum bulk resistivity of 1x10¹² ohm.cm and a voltage holding ratio of 98% or greater on page 7. Since both inventions relate to liquid crystals, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the TL series of liquid crystals as disclosed by Merck in the matrix disclosed by Hattori et al. for the purpose of providing a composition that has high birefringence while maintaining high stability.

Regarding claim 2, Hattori et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the specified ratio of liquid crystals to polymer. Merck discloses the ratio of liquid crystal to polymer to be between 50/50 and 70/30 on page 7. Since both inventions relate to liquid crystals, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the TL series of liquid crystals as disclosed by Merck in the matrix disclosed by Hattori et al. for the purpose of providing a composition that has high birefringence while maintaining high stability.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Hattori et al. and Merck teaches the claimed invention except for specifically stating the driving voltage to be 280 V or less

Art Unit: 2874

across an air gap of at least 15 μ m. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to do so, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior at, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 4, Hattori et al. discloses hydroxyl groups for linking in paragraph 0009.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-17 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art cited on attached form PTO-892 is the most relevant prior art known, however, the invention of claims 6-17 distinguishes over the prior art of record for the following reasons.

Regarding claims 6 and 14; the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious an apparatus or method comprising a polymer dispersed liquid crystal separated from an electro-optical device, applying a voltage to a transparent electrode overlying the polymer dispersed liquid crystal and detecting a changed intensity of light transmitted by the polymer dispersed liquid crystal along with the other limitations of the claims. Claims 7-13 and 15-17 depend from claims 6 and 14 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,110 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chris H. Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-8655.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Uyen-Chau Le can be reached on 571-272-2397. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature should be directed to the Technology

Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562.

Chris H. Chu /Chris Chu/ Patent Examiner May 22, 2009

/Uyen-Chau N. Le/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2874